Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/684,273	WILZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jared J. Fureman	2876	

All Participants:

(1) *Mr. Jared J. Fureman (PTO).*

(2) *Mr. Thomas J. Perkowski (33,134).*

Status of Application: *Allowed*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *9 February 2006*

Time: *11:30 am*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
The 103(a) rejection of claim 27 over Kumagai et al in view of Kahn et al.

Claims discussed:
27

Prior art documents discussed:
Kumagai et al (US 6,216,953 B1) and Kahn et al (US 5,600,121).

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Jared J. Fureman
JARED J. FUREMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Perkowski was informed that claims 24-26, 28-32 and 40-45 were in condition for allowance, but claim 27 remained rejected as set forth in the office action mailed on 6/28/2005. Mr. Perkowski authorized an examiner's amendment to cancel claim 27, without prejudice, in order to place the application in condition for allowance.